I might add, I thought it was interesting that President Clinton even took the time to take this subject up with the Prime Minister of Japan because of their dumping practices.

## STEEL IMPORTS ONCE AGAIN ON THE RISE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. RADANOVICH). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BERRY) is recognized during morning hour debates for 3 minutes.

Mr. BERRY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today because the steelworkers in Northeast Arkansas and all over this country are frustrated, and they are the most productive steelworkers in the world. They have lost faith in their government's promise to uphold its basic trade laws.

The steel import figures for March show that imports are once again on the rise. Imports for March are 25 percent higher than the imports in February. Imports from Japan rose 36 percent; from Brazil, 54 percent; from Korea, 11 percent; from Indonesia, 339 percent. Compared to July of 1997, before the crisis began, Japan's imports are up 22 percent; Brazil's are up 25 percent; Korea, 77 percent; Indonesia, 889 percent.

Clearly, the steel crisis is not over.

Although they continue to assure us that they are negotiating and consulting with these nations, we continue to see higher rates of steel entering this Nation.

The President warned Japan Monday to reduce its steel shipments to the United States on a consistent basis or the government will act to block them. The President also said during a news conference that the U.S. would act to keep Japanese steel out of U.S. markets if those imports continued to exceed the levels existing before the Asian economic crisis.

How long does this crisis have to go on? Something must be done. We must take action now.

Arkansas steelworkers have lost faith in their government because we have failed them by failing to enforce our own trade laws.

The administration continues to sit on this problem without offering a substantive and timely remedy. Steelworkers need solid, immediate plans to end the flow of underpriced steel that is flooding our market. We cannot simply solve the world's financial crisis on the backs of the steelworkers of the United States. The time for action is now, as I have already said, strong and decisive action. For the sake of American steelworkers and their families, we must end this import crisis.

## THE CONTINUING STEEL IMPORT CRISIS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from New York (Mr. QUINN) is recognized during morning hour debates for 2 minutes.

Mr. QUINN. Mr. Speaker, I would like associate myself with the remarks of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. REG-ULA) and also the gentleman from Arkansas (Mr. BERRY).

We rise today to discuss the steel crisis that continues to grip the steel industry and its workers.

On March 17, this past year, 289 House Members passed the bipartisan Steel Recovery Act. This bipartisan legislation calls for quotas to be placed on foreign steel to get back to its precrisis levels of July, 1997.

The bill would also set up a steel monitoring system that would track the amount of steel imports into the United States by foreign countries.

Mr. Speaker, I am not going to go into detail this morning about the reasons why our steel industry and its workers find themselves in this serious crisis. We have been through that in the months leading up to the vote on March 17. What I am here to say and to join the others in pointing out is that there still is a steel crisis in the United States and that we need something done immediately.

As many as four major steel companies are in bankruptcy right now, and we know that when those good-paying jobs disappear they disappear forever.

The need for our steel bill was clear on March 17, and today it is even more clear. 289 House Members believed that something must be done to stop these imports, as we continue to see higher rates of steel entering the country each and every day.

The administration may argue that the amount of steel imports for the month of March represents a 30 percent drop in imports since November of 1998; and, while that may be true, shipments from countries such as Brazil and Japan showed a significant increase.

It is important to point out that just yesterday the President warned Japan that the United States will take action if the steel imports are not returned to their pre-crisis levels. I believe that is an absolute positive step in the right direction, and I applaud the President for this action.

We must continue, though, in our action to make sure that passage of the bill that the House sent over is approved in the Senate and signed by the President of the United States.

On behalf of the American steelworkers and their families, I ask our administration and the Senate to act to end this crisis. This is not about free trade. It is about fair trade.

THE ITC SHOULD RULE DECI-SIVELY IN FAVOR OF THE U.S. STEEL INDUSTRY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. WISE) is recognized during morning hour debates for 1 minute.

Mr. WISE. Mr. Speaker, today the International Trade Commission holds a hearing into illegal steel dumping. Well, let me report, I was in the northern panhandle yesterday. The pain, both economic and personal, continues from illegal dumping of steel in this country by foreign nations. Over 10,000 jobs have been lost nationwide. Weirton Steel alone has lost over 750 jobs. Net sales for Weirton Steel are down \$76 million this quarter over last year, and as of March of this year the level of steel imports from Japan and Brazil were up 22 and 25 percent. These numbers show clearly this crisis, this steel crisis, is nowhere near over.

The decision from today's International Trade Commission hearing will not be given until mid-June, but I am urging the ITC to rule decisively in favor of the U.S. steel industry and its \$70 billion contribution to our economy and to Weirton Steel and to many others.

When we see a crime, we call 911. Well, this time West Virginia steel-workers need some help from this international assault.

## TIME TO TAKE DECISIVE ACTION IN YUGOSLAVIA

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 19, 1999, the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. Whitfield) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. WHITFIELD. Mr. Speaker, late last week this House took up a resolution to continue the administration's policy of bombing Yugoslavia, and by a vote of 213 to 213 the measure failed to endorse that policy.

Many of those of us who voted against the policy made a deliberate, considered vote of protest against incessant bombings that have not accomplished much of anything except to kill innocent civilians and destroy the infrastructure of Yugoslavia that in the end the U.S. will likely be asked to spend billions of dollars to rebuild.

Forty-one days of intensive bombings have not been successful in removing Milosevic's forces from Kosova, nor has it achieved the stated purpose of the bombing and that is to stop the ethnic cleansing of the Kosovars. Even our own NATO commanders have stated clearly that, except for weakening the air defense system in Yugoslavia, the air strikes have not been successful; and Serb forces continue to commit atrocities; and hundreds of civilians, men, women and children, are being killed by these bombs.

Contrary to the wishful thinking of those who supported that resolution, the bombing has not stopped the murders. It has not stopped the violence.